

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,503	07/22/2003	Masahiro Ida	Q76108	8015	
7590 08/31/2004			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			BONCK, RODNEY H		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3681		

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
Office Action Summary		10/623,503	IDA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rodney H. Bonck	3681				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Renly	on appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence addres	S			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MI	ONTH(S) FROM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	22 July 2003.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
5)[
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,8,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2, 5, 6, and 9</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8)							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🛛	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	·					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		pplication No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th		· ·	ge			
	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/22/03</u> .	6) Other:		-			

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a first action on the merits of application Serial No.10/623,503, filed July 22, 2003.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt is acknowledged of the Information Disclosure Statement filed July 22, 2003. The cited document has been considered.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5 of the specification, line 3 of paragraph [0015], "engineand" should be – engine and --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1 of claim 2, "whereinthe" should be – wherein the --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Kageyama et al.(US 2001/0011616 A1) or Christenson('716). The Kageyama et al. device shows a transmission comprising a pump unit 22, a transmission mechanism (inside casing 3), a pump casing (not numbered, but shown in Fig. 1), a pump drive shaft (not numbered, but shown in Fig. 1), a bearing member (Fig. 1), a seal member(Fig. 1), an oil reservoir(Fig. 1), and a oil drain passage (Fig. 1) connecting the reservoir with the transmission case. The clutch member 7 includes an outer case that provides a shielding member between an opening of the oil drain passage and at least part 70,71 of the transmission mechanism. The pump casing is shown directly fixed to the transmission case. Similarly, Christenson discloses shows a transmission comprising a pump unit 90,91, a transmission mechanism 15, a pump casing 22, a pump drive shaft 83, a bearing member 85, a seal member 86, an oil reservoir (between the bearing and the seal), and a oil drain passage 87 connecting the reservoir with the transmission case. The drum 81 of transmission mechanism 15 provides a shielding member between an opening of the oil drain passage and at least part of the transmission mechanism. The pump casing is shown directly fixed to the transmission case.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,503

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Kageyama et al. (US 2001/0011616 A1) or Christenson ('716) in view of Snow et al. ('840). In both Kageyama et al. and Christenson the drain passage is shown as extending downward and opening below the pump shaft. Snow et al. shows a drain line 210 for the reservoir defined between shoulder 166 and seal 150 (see Fig. 7) that extends upwardly from the reservoir and opens above the pump shaft. It would have

Art Unit: 3681

been obvious to so dispose the drain in Kageyama et al. and Christenson, the motivation being to better retain lubricant in the reservoir.

Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama et al. (US 2001/0011616 A1) or Christenson('716) in view of Industriewerk Schaeffler (IS) (DT 2 305 476). It is unclear Kageyama et al. or Christenson provide a race in contact with the bearing hole, a roller in rolling contact with the pump shaft, and a seal in the race member. The IS('476) document shows a pump shaft 1 with a bearing race 4 in contact with the bearing hole in member 8, a roller 3 in contact with the pump shaft, and a seal 5,6 in the race 4. It would have been obvious to provide this bearing arrangement in either Kageyama et al. or Christenson, the motivation being to use a bearing arrangement known to be appropriate for use in a pump.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama et al. (US 2001/0011616 A1) or Christenson('716) in view of Snow et al. ('840) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Industriewerk Schaeffler (IS) (DT 2 305 476). It is unclear Kageyama et al. or Christenson provide a race in contact with the bearing hole, a roller in rolling contact with the pump shaft, and a seal in the race member. The IS('476) document shows a pump shaft 1 with a bearing race 4 in contact with the bearing hole in member 8, a roller 3 in contact with the pump shaft, and a seal 5,6 in the race 4. It would have been obvious to provide this bearing

Art Unit: 3681

arrangement in either Kageyama et al. or Christenson, the motivation being to use a bearing arrangement known to be appropriate for use in a pump.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 5, 6, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Holbrook('826) is cited to show the pump arrangement in Fig. 1B. Kan('780) is cited to show bearing 11 with roller 6 in contact with the shaft and including a seal at 10. Okuno et al.(US 2004/0031352 A1) is cited to show the pump configuration at P, a frictional engaging element, a servo in the pump casing, and an apparent retainer for the piston and return springs, but lacking a drain opening in a position that allow the retainer to act as a shield.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney H. Bonck whose telephone number is (703)-308-2904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

Art Unit: 3681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (703)-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney H. Bonck Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

rhb August 25, 2004